



Flood Risk Management
Derbyshire County Council
County Hall
Matlock, Derbyshire
DE4 3AG

Ms Rachael.Simpkin
Planning@highpeak.gov.uk

Telephone 01629 537294
Ask for Farhad Poursadr
Email [REDACTED]
Our ref HPBC/2026/34
Your ref DOC/2026/0036
Date 03 July 2026

Dear Peter,

Proposal: Discharge of conditions 3, 4, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 26, 27, 29, 31, 32, 33, 35, 36, 37, 42 & 43 relating to HPK/2023/0025

Location: Land South of , Hollin Cross Lane , Glossop , Derbyshire , SK13 8JH

Application reference DOC/2026/0036

No objections in principle	Conditions Recommended	Objection Recommended	X
----------------------------	------------------------	-----------------------	---

The Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) has reviewed the submitted Flood Risk Assessment, drainage layout drawing and supporting hydraulic calculations submitted in support of the discharge of conditions application.

The LLFA considers that insufficient information has been provided to demonstrate full compliance with conditions 31, 32, 33 and 34, for the reasons set out below.

The LLFA has no comment to make in relation to condition 35 in respect of foul drainage as this falls outside the scope of the LLFA's statutory consultee remit.

REASONS FOR OBJECTION

Condition 31 – Detailed Surface Water Drainage Scheme

The applicant has submitted a detailed drainage layout and supporting hydraulic calculations demonstrating the proposed surface water drainage strategy. The submitted calculations indicate that surface water discharge from the development will be restricted to 10 l/s and that attenuation storage has been provided within the drainage network. Hydraulic modelling indicates no flooding within the system during the tested design events.

However, the LLFA does not consider that sufficient information has been provided to fully discharge this condition.

Whilst the drainage layout identifies a proposed discharge to Long Clough Brook, insufficient information has been submitted regarding the receiving watercourse and outfall arrangement. The submission does not include:

- Detailed outfall construction details;
- Headwall and erosion protection details;
- Information regarding the condition of the receiving watercourse;
- Watercourse cross-sections at the discharge location;
- Evidence demonstrating that the proposed discharge can be accommodated without causing erosion, instability or adverse impacts within the channel.

The LLFA acknowledges that any requirement for Land Drainage Consent would be dealt with under separate legislation and through a separate consenting process. However, sufficient information should be provided to demonstrate that the proposed outfall arrangement is feasible and that the receiving watercourse can accommodate the proposed discharge without increasing flood risk.

In addition, no detailed maintenance and management plan has been provided identifying:

- Future ownership of the drainage assets;
- Maintenance responsibilities;
- Inspection frequencies; and
- Long-term management arrangements for the drainage system.

The LLFA therefore recommends that Condition 31 is not discharged at this time.

Condition 32 – Drainage Hierarchy

Condition 32 requires the applicant to demonstrate compliance with the drainage hierarchy.

The submitted information does not include BRE365 infiltration testing results or equivalent ground investigation information demonstrating that infiltration drainage has been adequately assessed and discounted prior to selecting discharge to a watercourse.

The LLFA therefore considers that insufficient information has been provided to demonstrate compliance with the drainage hierarchy requirements.

The LLFA recommends that Condition 32 is not discharged.

Condition 33 – Construction Surface Water Management Plan

No Construction Surface Water Management Plan has been submitted.

The applicant has not provided details relating to:

- Temporary drainage arrangements during construction;
- Surface water runoff control measures;
- Sediment and silt management measures;
- Pollution prevention measures;
- Management and maintenance responsibilities during the construction phase.

The LLFA therefore recommends that Condition 33 is not discharged.

Condition 34 – Verification Report

Condition 34 requires submission of a verification report prepared by a suitably qualified drainage engineer confirming that the approved drainage system has been constructed in accordance with the approved design.

The documents submitted comprise design-stage information only. No as-built verification information has been provided.

The LLFA therefore recommends that Condition 34 is not discharged.

LLFA Recommendation

The LLFA recommends that:

- Condition 31 – Not Discharged
- Condition 32 – Not Discharged
- Condition 33 – Not Discharged
- Condition 34 – Not Discharged
- Condition 35 – No Objection

The applicant should provide the following additional information before Conditions 31–34 can be discharged:

1. Detailed outfall construction drawings and erosion protection details.
2. Information regarding the existing condition and characteristics of Long Clough Brook at the proposed discharge location, including relevant cross-sections where necessary.
3. Surface water drainage maintenance and management plan.
4. BRE365 infiltration testing results and supporting drainage hierarchy assessment.
5. Construction Surface Water Management Plan.
6. Verification report (to be submitted following construction of the drainage system in accordance with the condition wording).

Until the above information has been provided and approved, the LLFA objects to the discharge of Conditions 31, 32, 33 and 34.

Yours sincerely



Farhad Poursadr
Project Engineer

Checked by: Dan Killer
Date 03/07/2026