



Flood Risk Management
 Derbyshire County Council
 County Hall
 Matlock, Derbyshire
 DE4 3AG

Rachael Simpkin
 Development Services
 High Peak Borough Council
 PO Box 136
 Buxton
 SK17 1AQ

Telephone [REDACTED]
 Ask for [REDACTED]
 Email flood.team@derbyshire.gov.uk
 Our ref HPBC/2026/21
 Your ref HPK/2026/0141
 Date 04 June 2026

Dear Rachael,

Proposal: Full Planning Permission for the erection of 24 dwellings (Use Class C3), alongside access, car parking, landscaping and other associated works

Location: Land At Miry Meadow Chapel-En-Le-Frith

Application reference HPK/2026/0141

No objections in principle	Conditions Recommended	Holding Objection Recommended	X
----------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------------	---

Derbyshire County Council as the Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) has reviewed the information submitted for this application, which was received on 14/04/2026. The LLFA recommends an objection for the reasons set out below.

The applicant has submitted an updated drainage strategy and CCTV survey; however, this information does not fully address the LLFA's previous comments.

The CCTV survey indicates that it was abandoned downstream of the site, therefore it does not demonstrate the wider connectivity of the culvert. As such, it fails to provide sufficient evidence that it's a viable outfall, at present.

The applicant has not undertaken a capacity assessment of the culvert, and therefore it has not been demonstrated that the culvert has sufficient capacity to accommodate additional flows from the site.

The updated drainage strategy fails to demonstrate that the proposals fully comply with all standards of the National Standards for Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) 2025.

The applicant has discounted the use of pervious paving due to the gradients and ground conditions of the site, however the applicant hasn't considered a range other SuDS feature, including source control measures.

REASONS FOR OBJECTION

We are recommending an objection on the proposed development as it is not possible to provide an informed comment until such a time that the applicant has submitted further information

- *Details demonstrating the proposed culvert within the site is a viable outfall for the site including:*
 - *Wider network connectivity of the culvert beyond the blocked downstream manhole*
 - *consideration to daylight the culvert and incorporate it within the proposed site layout*
 - *Capacity assessment of the culvert incorporating a catchment assessment demonstrating the culvert has sufficient capacity to accept additional volume from site.*
 - *Confirmation who will be responsible for the culverted watercourse within the site for perpetuity,*
 - *A plan showing above ground flood pathways for a blockage scenario of the culvert, to ensure exceedance routes can be safely managed. This should include FFLs, external levels, highway levels, routing direction, storage areas, gully locations.*
- *Details demonstrating how the proposals conform to the 'National Standards for Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)' 2025.*
 - *Standard 2*
 - *How the first 5mm of rainfall will be intercepted.*
 - *Standard 5*
 - *Multi-functional benefits of the SuDS in the site*
- *Inclusion of other SuDS measure for example filter strips or rain gardens in public open spaces of the site.*

These details are required at the early planning stage to demonstrate that the proposed site is able to drain and that due consideration has been given to the space required on site for surface water storage.

Please note the level of detail submitted should be proportionate to the size and scale of the development.

Yours sincerely



Dan Killer
Senior Technician

Checked by: Chris Rogers
Date 04/06/2026