

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 06 May 2026 16:09
To: Planning Comments (HPBC)
Subject: Re: Planning Application HPK/2026/0146
Attachments: image0.jpeg; image1.jpeg

[REDACTED]

I am objecting to the above Planning Application for the following reasons:

ACCESS TO THE LAND IN QUESTION

1. From St. Georges Road down the shared driveway

(a) This driveway is owned by the residents whose gardens it crosses. The residents of house nos. 6, 12, 14 and 16 St Georges Road have garden on either side of this driveway which has not been fully identified on the location map. Access down it is permitted for other residents' vehicles and for the occupants of the garages. Given its nature of being narrow, overhung with low tree branches and of a loose tarmac consistency (which is already breaking up), it is only suitable for cars and small vans and certainly not for commercial traffic.

(b) Damage has already been sustained by the Applicants vehicles (See attached photos above) due to the width of this driveway.

(c) It is also used as a walkway for the residents to reach St Georges Road, it being the only access for people as well as for cars.

(d) On speaking to the Applicant, I was informed that ground clearance and earth works were part of the services they offer. My "laymen's" knowledge suggests heavy duty equipment is involved.

2. Access from St. Georges Road on to the driveway

(a) Large vehicles approaching from the Ollersett Road direction are unable to negotiate the tight LH turn into the driveway. They have to overshoot the turning and reverse down it. This creates a dangerous situation for residents (their friends and family) who use this driveway for pedestrian access to St Georges Road (see note 1c above). And also for residents who could be tending their garden to the side of the driveway when this occurs.

(b) Large vehicles on St Georges Road approaching from the other direction have to negotiate the 90 degree bend beyond Dilworth & Morris (the "beep beep" corner) which is difficult and/or impossible for most.

(c) Over the years several plans have been discussed about the use of that plot of land but all have been disregarded because of the problems of access. Nothing has changed.

In summary: this retrospective Planning Application should NOT be granted to the plot of land under question as the access driveway is not suitable for any vehicles larger than a car or a small van and could be dangerous if larger commercial traffic was allowed to drive across what is, at the end of the day, our gardens.

Submitted by:
Chrissie Jobson
14 St Georges Road
New Mills



