

[REDACTED]

Sent: 11 January 2026 12:13
To: Planning Comments (HPBC)
[REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Objection of proposed extension at 5 Mill Court - HPK / 2025/0489
[REDACTED]

To whom it may concern,

I note on the planning portal that there seems to be an issue with our previous comment loading so it doesn't appear to be currently viewable. I've added our previous comments to this email again if they could be added as well as adding further comments.

We reside at 7 Mill Court and wish to put forward our objections to the proposed extension planning at 5 Mill Court.

We feel very strongly that the proposed extension is firstly too large. The current size of the property is 3 storeys high and of considerable width. This is already dominating and large in character and appearance. We feel extending 4 metres to the side would make this overly dominant and create an overbearing impact particularly viewed from our own property due to its orientation.

Secondly of particular concern is a loss of light. Wain homes built the garages for our property at number 7 and that of number 6 Mill Court to the side of our house which unfortunately already hinders light coming into our main living area and causes a partial block of view from our living room window. We therefore already have issues with limited light which we only get from less than a third of the window. We feel the extension would hugely exacerbate this problem by having the potential to obscure this limited light even more, particularly due to the proposed width and height. It would undoubtedly cause some overshadowing and a loss of natural light into our front window.

We feel that the size, scale and bulk of the proposed extension will have a significant and overbearing visual impact on our property and that from an aesthetic point of view the proposed design is largely at odds with the rest of the homes on Mill Court and will somewhat spoil the character of the area.

Our final concern is that the proposed design would change the location of the current main front door and move it to the rear of the property in close proximity to what is a shared driveway between 4 properties. We question whether this would impede on shared boundary lines and potentially a safety concern with cars coming off drives directly towards and very close to the new positioned front door.

In addition to our previous comments we'd like to add that 5 Mill Court occupies a highly visible location alongside the settlement edge. It is situated directly adjacent to the bridleway and in close proximity to the access point from the bridleway path into Mill Court and the footpath to Printers Close. The proposed plans would therefore make the property appear even more dominant and incongruous when viewed from the public bridleway obscuring and impeding on the countryside views. The bulk of the building, and its high solid to void ratio is especially of concern and would hugely impact and change the character of the area viewed both from the public bridleway and from the properties within the cul de sac.

Kind Regards,

Rachel Potter and Steven OFlaherty

(Residents of 7 Mill Court)

On Tue, 30 Dec 2025 at 15:30 [REDACTED] wrote:

Regarding application (HPK/2025/0489)

To whom it may concern,

We reside at 7 Mill Court and wish to put forward our objections to the proposed extension planning at 5 Mill Court.

We feel very strongly that the proposed extension is firstly too large. The current size of the property is 3 storeys high and of considerable width. This is already dominating and large in character and appearance. We feel extending 4 metres to the side would make this overly dominant and create an overbearing impact particularly viewed from our own property due to its orientation.

Secondly of particular concern is a loss of light. Wain homes built the garages for our property at number 7 and that of number 6 Mill Court to the side of our house which unfortunately already hinders light coming into our main living area and causes a partial block of view from our living room window. We therefore already have issues with limited light which we only get from less than a third of the window. We feel the extension would hugely exacerbate this problem by having the potential to obscure this limited light even more, particularly due to the proposed width and height. It would undoubtedly cause some overshadowing and a loss of natural light into our front window.

We feel that the size, scale and bulk of the proposed extension will have a significant and overbearing visual impact on our property and that from an aesthetic point of view the proposed design is largely at odds with the rest of the homes on Mill Court and will somewhat spoil the character of the area.

Our final concern is that the proposed design would change the location of the current main front door and move it to the rear of the property in close proximity to what is a shared driveway between 4 properties. We question whether this would impede on shared boundary lines and potentially a safety concern with cars coming off drives near to the front door.

Thank you for hearing our concerns. Please can you acknowledge receipt of this email.

Kind Regards,

Rachel Potter and Steven O'Flaherty

(Residents of 7 Mill Court)