

From:
Sent: 14 October 2025 19:53
To: James Stannard
Cc: Planning (HPBC)
Subject: Planning HPK/2025/0074 & 0075

Hi James

Please find below my official comments on the re consultation.

I have noted the definite lack of consideration for the character and shared setting of adjoining properties stated by British Archaeology, and this is particularly relevant for me as an adjoining neighbour.

My comments made previously still stand, and I remain as committed as ever as I watch the wildlife, the birds, the bats, the nature, the trees etc., and the environment / landscape is one which I want to protect and enjoy. It's why I bought my house in the first place. I think it's critical to protect those surroundings and minimise noise and disturbance. That, I believe, is also where Highways' concerns stood about the additional traffic on a single track road used by walkers, horses etc.

Perhaps I can pose the questions below now as well (as an adjoining neighbour) their relevance being from when previous works on the roof of the Stables / Coach House property was carried out and scaffolding remained in my garden for almost 12 months after the work was completed, despite several requests for it to be removed.

Also there were issues regarding access when the satellite dish was erected at the Stables / Coach house.

So the relevance of the questions below will only come about if and when any permissions are agreed.

How will disturbance to my property be managed from these works ?

How will disturbance to the close surrounding properties / area be managed from these works?

Will there be time limits on days / weekends when work can be undertaken?

How will these kind of things be managed / communicated ?

There are probably numerous other questions connected to this theme which need to be considered / addressed and trust that appropriate consultation will take place with everyone impacted.

David Dove
Bowden Hall Cottage.