

**From:** planningcomments@highpeak.gov.uk  
**Sent:** 18 October 2025 14:21  
**To:** Planning Comments (HPBC)  
**Subject:** Comment Received from Public Access

**Categories:** [REDACTED]

Application Reference No. : HPK/2025/0380  
Site Address: Marsh Lane and Ladypit Road Furness Vale Derbyshire  
Comments by: Ken and Terri Brumby  
From:

Lodge House  
Station rd  
Furness Vale  
Furness vale

SK23 7QA

Phone:

Email: [REDACTED]

Submission: Objection

Comments: We object to the application for further planning permission re the battery farm Marsh Lane / Dolly Lane very strongly. It is our understanding that re the original planning application the agreement was to satisfy NETWORK RAILS points mainly re safety. We are not aware that any agreement has been made with NETWORK RAIL -neither have local residents been informed of anything relating to it . Therefore we do not see how or why HPBC would be able to consider any further PP applications until this

is all satisfied .

We can see that very nearby properties have been asked for consultation but on the whole Marsh lane / Dolly Lane /

Station rd / Calico lane and Furness Vale have not been consulted or informed . The applicant is very aware of its poor communication in the previous application as is HPBC. This PP application mirrors how residents were poorly treated in the first application./Process .No communication of this or the previous application status - out standing agreement has been received

We also note there is a very short span for public comment? Why is this please ?

Re the pipeline - it is impossible to fathom how this was not included in the original application - how did HPBC think the electricity would reach the generator. Etc? If this major point was not considered in the original applications - it presents then that application was not whole , clear and transparent or fit for purpose / therefore would need to be annulled / reconsidered.

The implementation of this pipe line will cause chaos to Dolly lane / Marsh lane / Station rd that now is overwhelming inadequate for traffic and very dangerous for pedestrians and drivers ( Marsh lane.-Has just been shut two weeks causing multiple issues and chaos on the A6 - Albion rd ) and absolutely unacceptable levels of disruption for the closest residents whom have a legal right to live peacefully in their homes .

Though in the last application HPBC seemed to overlook energy from the proposed site was NOT for the High Peak but Cheshire as we residents were told at the original meetings from Novus at Furness Vale Community Centre .

And this was vehemently denied on forums too by MP Jon Pierce in writing. Again at a public meet at the same venue quite recently our MP admitted the energy was NOT for the High Peak .

As in many of the 200 plus objections from residents -in the original applications -with similar points to our objections here . We are sure the new proposals will have effects on nature and environment and it would seem in a 15 years extension application that would be escalated. Did the application originally not agree to restore the environment after that applications agreed timescale ? If a 15 years extension is granted - that agreement is flaunted - it would seem to present both legally and morally dubious.

A further application for a 15 years extension at the site also makes the points in the original applications that HPBC agreed to ( After not yet satisfying Net Work Rail - see earlier point) presents as rather devious -as if the application was not honest / clear and transparent of what time scale the application really sort . Maybe if they first applied with a shorter timeframe / their application would be more likely to be considered. - it may seem .There seems no reason why this extension may be required /explanation to residents and it is not clear in the application. It is unfathomable if our council acts in an honourable , honest and moral way as we would hope - how HPBC could possibly consider further applications re this battery farm . It also presents unless the applicant / HPBC are totally ignorant to how a battery farm works - leaving a pipeline off the original application - so the applicant needs to seek further PP - may present as being rather dubious / dishonest in the original process/application for the battery farm , as without a pipeline how would the whole thing work ?. A pipeline very near to Gowhill / Dolly lane listed in your consulting are even at more risk from fire that could not be handled by the fire brigades and there is numerous events / facts and data re danger/fire and battery farms in the councils and public arena. These are the reasons we strongly object to this further application.

---

Do you really need to print out this Email? Be green - keep it on the screen.

This email is intended for the addressee(s) only and may contain sensitive, privileged or confidential information that could be protectively marked. If you are not the addressee please do not use the information in any way. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system. Thank you.

The Council may be required to disclose this email or any responses to it under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. The way in which we handle personal information is set out in our privacy notice and is available at <https://www.highpeak.gov.uk/YourData>

---