

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/H1033/W/24/3348508

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference APP/H1033/W/24/3348508

Appeal By HIGH PEAK RETREAT

Site Address
Land off Long Lane
Chapel-en-le-Frith
Derbyshire
SK23 0TQ
Grid Ref Easting: 405266
Grid Ref Northing: 379960

SENDER DETAILS

Name MR TONY GOSLING

Address
2 Thomas Meadows
CHAPEL-EN-LE-FRITH
SK23 0UD

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- ☐ Appellant
- ☐ Agent
- ☒ Interested Party / Person
- ☐ Land Owner
- ☐ Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- ☐ Final Comments
- ☐ Proof of Evidence
- ☐ Statement
- ☐ Statement of Common Ground
- ☒ Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- ☐ Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

hi i wish to strongly object to this planning proposal ,i do live in chapel en le frith,,there are so many reasons this glamping site should not go ahead
it is not suitable for that land
we have a camp site only a few hundred metres away that is hardly use
the land is boggy iideal for wildlife but without major drainage to the field and somewhere to take the water it is not suitable
the access road is narrow one car width for the first 300 metres with no passing points[it is a private road i do believe the applicant has got no rights of commercial access]
the private road is a a public right of way very popular with walkers and dog walkers ,at weekend and i have counted you can get over 50 seperate people or groups an hour along a siongle track road with no passing point for the first 300 metres off long lane which is also on a bend and you cannot see what is coming either way.[no way of creating a seperate footpath on this stretch houses on one side and a protected woodland on the other]
the field is not a great site for glamping near a 24/7 railway line carrying stone trains
whay does a glamping site for 10 units need 5 permanent buildings.....?
the site just is not suitable in any way for the proposed development
in my view because a housing estate was turned down a few years ago on this site this is a back door way of getting building permission,,first will come the site and then a house for someone to live on site and then a granny bungalow and so it will go on
there is a glamping site in combs not a mile away accross the fields that is under booked,,
this is not about a glamping site nobody in their right mind would want to glamp on a boggy field next to a railway and i have no idea how power,waster and sewerage and internet could be provided,
this glamping site i presume if they could get a power supply to the site would be all lit up and causing light pollution,i myself have watch owls hunting over this field for field mice etc and all wildlife would be disturbed,,
so please consider all the above seriously along with all the objections raised to the original application,,
talk in plans of planting tress he has already tried that and because boggy land the tress died