From: planningcomments@highpeak.gov.uk
Sent: 17 August 2024 19:07
To: Planning Comments (HPBC)
Subject: Comment Received from Public Access

Categories:

Application Reference No. : HPK/2024/0310 Site Address: Land adjacent to Carndhu 13 Back Lane Charlesworth Derbyshire SK13 5HJ Glossop Comments by: Alwena WilliamsYes
From:
stocks Barn
Back Lane
Charlesworth
Charlesworth
Derbyshire
sk135hj
Phone:
Email:
Submission: Objection
Comments: Health and Safety ¿ the quarry has been unmaintained for the 40 years since its closure and may not be fit for the proposed purpose. The terrain of the grounds is uneven and unsafe unless landscaped for the use of human recreational activities. The entrance to the quarry will not allow for emergency vehicles to gain entry safely in the case of such event, equally, the deployment of emergency equipment in and out of the quarry will pose difficulties due to the unruly nature of the ground surface unless grounding modifications are undertaken, going against the ruling of the conservation area. Consequently an injured/ immobile person(s) would have to be physically carried out, this may not be a possibility due to their habitus.

Highways/Access ¿ There is not enough space to allow transportational access for the proposed 50 persons(s) ¿ Parking is only mentioned for 3 cars and those dedicated for the staff. Back Lane and Town Lane do not afford any turning space for anything beyond a domestic vehicle and the school car park is a privately owned by the community¿s parish and Is not open for public use. Back Lane itself has no pavements and poses danger for pedestrians in large numbers, especially children and vulnerable adults transiting to and from the access gate. As parking would not be regulated it¿s highly likely visitors will park on Back Lane closest to the access gate and this would render emergency vehicles unable to reach their intended destination. It would also likely block residential access in and out of their homes and parents who attend the local school to drop off and collect their own children twice daily at similar times to what¿s being proposed here. There is already a current parking crisis alive in Charlesworth specifically in the vicinity of Town Lane and Back Lane, this proposal will exasperate the situation further making it reckless and unsafe. Furthermore, the main operating times for these activities will take place during the holidays which also coincides with the influx of holiday makers we have currently passing through Town Lane and Back Lane to reach Charlesworth Lodges.

Noise Pollution ¿ The vicinity of the proposed business is a very quiet and tranquil residential area, this proposal will cause significant noise pollution due to the increased traffic, increased footfall, increased population and the likely increase in the noise generated by such recreational activities. The quarry itself is not ¿Bowl shaped¿ as stipulated in this proposal, rather it is horse shoe shaped, raised at one end sloping down to an opening flat facing residential housing withing 30m, namely my own property. The recreational activities will echo the back wall and will not be contained within the quarry as aforementioned in the proposal.

Environmental impact/ habitat ¿ For the quarry to be safely inhabited would require significant landscaping of the grounds plus the occupants visiting is likely to cause considerable diminishment to the current habitat, as its been

unoccupied for over 40 years there is established wildlife which would be displaced as a result. These include protected species namely bats and kestrels.

Conservation area ¿ This land is within the parameters of the conservation area marked out in public records. Alteration needed to make this a safe place to operate means that substantial works will need to be carried out which would then violate the conservation rules.

I strongly object to this proposal, not only for the aforementioned reasons, but also because I paid a slight premium to live in an area that is non commercial and untouched, the added benefit of living in a conservation area I believe gave me the Safety net, therefore I am strongly against this going ahead, finally ¿ 28 days is the proposed recreational use, however 28 days a year simply would not be financially viable to make all the alterations and run a successful and lucrative business. It is my position that 28 days is a starting point on which they intend to grown gradually until they operate 7 days a week.

_____

Do you really need to print out this Email? Be green - keep it on the screen.

This email is intended for the addressee(s) only and may contain sensitive, privileged or confidential information that could be protectively marked. If you are not the addressee please do not use the information in any way. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system. Thank you.

The Council may be required to disclose this email or any responses to it under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. The way in which we handle personal information is set out in our privacy notice and is available at https://www.highpeak.gov.uk/YourData

_____