
From: planenforcement HPBC
Sent: 27 December 2023 08:16
To: 'Martin Lightfoot'
Cc: Planning (HPBC)
Subject: Planning Application HPK/2023/0484 -

Categories:

Good morning both

+

Planning – Please note the below comments from Mr Lightfoot in respect of the planning application HPK.2023.0484 – Application for Variation of a Condition 9 in relation to application HPK/2021/0271 - Expansion of Opening Hours - 9 Market Street Chapel-En-Le-Frith SK23 0HL

+

Please action Mr Lightfoot's comment as required

+

Mr Lightfoot – I acknowledge your below email which as shown is forwarded for attention by the Councils Planning Service to whom any further on the planning application in question should be addressed

+

For completeness the planning application in question can be viewed / tracked by way of the following hyperlink which includes a link to commenting on the planning application in question :-

<http://planning.highpeak.gov.uk/portal/servlets/ApplicationSearchServlet?PKID=264276>

+

Kind regards

Planning Enforcement (A Multi Officer email address)

Development Services

High Peak Borough Council

Office

www.highpeak.gov.uk | [Follow HPBC on X \(Formerly Twitter\)](#) | [Facebook](#)

www.staffsmoorlands.gov.uk | [Follow SMDC on X \(Formerly Twitter\)](#) | [Facebook](#)



From: Martin Lightfoot
Sent: Monday, December 25, 2023 7:35 AM
To: planenforcement HPBC
Subject: Application HPK/2023/0484

I wish to object to the current planning application to vary and remove conditions of existing approved planning.

I object for the same reasons I recently contacted licensing to state my opinion.

There has been an ongoing breach and disregard of planning and licensing conditions displayed here with excuses being used when raised or queried by the authorities. In fact even now the conditions are flaunted with flat 2 advertised openly to sleep 2 people yet conditions state no more than 1 person should stay and occupy it at any one time.

The current hours were deemed to be important enough to put as conditions when the original permissions were granted so surely there would have to be strong and significant changes or reason to accept and warrant a change. The reasons stated for the current hours were that they were granted in the interest of preserving neighbouring

residential amenity in accordance with local plan policies EQ6 and EQ10 and relevant paragraphs under chapters 12 and 15 of the NPPF. Have any of these changed to warrant or alter the opinion of the planning authorities?

This is an upmarket food venue, a restaurant so has no need to be operating earlier and later than current and indeed would in fact mean a licensed premises open earlier and later than most other licensed premises locally see the need or currently open suggesting no real call or requirement to do so. Or is this now moving away from its original intended use as per planning application?

I feel granting this counteracts the reasons stated for putting all conditions in place in the first place.

I have no issue with the restaurant and have, in fact, dined there when it first opened to show my support, but feel strongly that things are considered and granted with conditions for a reason and these should be adhered to, unless there has been a feasible reason or change to local authority guidelines in the period since original application was approved.

Regards
Martin Lightfoot

Do you really need to print out this Email? Be green - keep it on the screen.

This email is intended for the addressee(s) only and may contain sensitive, privileged or confidential information that could be protectively marked. If you are not the addressee please do not use the information in any way. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system. Thank you.

The Council may be required to disclose this email or any responses to it under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. The way in which we handle personal information is set out in our privacy notice and is available at <https://www.highpeak.gov.uk/YourData>
