

From: [Planning Comments \(HPBC\)](#) Comment
To: Received from Public Access 16 August
Subject: 2023 15:42:52
Date:

Application Reference No. : HPK/2023/0282

Site Address: Land Adjacent To Hilltop Cottage Hilltop Road Glossop Derbyshire

Comments by: NANCY IRWIN

From:

Hilltop rise

Hilltop rise

Glossop

Glossop

SK137QJ

Phone:

Email:

Submission: Objection

Comments: This proposal increases the footprint considerably of the current temporary structures ,which we object to.

The proposal increases the number of horses that would be stabled on the site which we object to.

The land did not have planning permission for a permanent structure and moving temporary buildings into more permanent buildings would in our opinion not be suitable considering this is green belt land.

More roofing would create more water logging without appropriate drainage. There are no sewage outlets in the area and we are all on septic tanks. Care of excess water has not been addressed. Without capacity of water infrastructure these extra roof spaces will add more run off and potential cause flooding. The road is also not in particular good state and may not be adequate for increased traffic for a new business.

We bought our property for quite enjoyment, building effectively a stable as a business will not allow us to enjoy our land in the quite manner we do. More stables will mean more people, and will result in a lack of privacy for us on our land. There will be an increase in smell, noise and activity which we object to. Increasing horses will mean more manure- where and how will this be disposed of, without smell and disruption to the neighbours. The land is not big enough as a plot to be suitable for stables.

The land is adjacent to Hilltop rise and therefore we will be impacted by this permeant structures being built on agricultural land. We bought the land to enjoy uninterrupted views of the scenery, putting up larger almost double the size structures will change our views and enjoyment.

We object to the architectural design is not in keeping of the area. Surrounded by old traditionally built buildings of stone and slate.

We object on the grounds of the history of planning of the site. There is currently no planning permission for

the temporary structures on the site, that came up in our land searches when we purchased. The proposal is very similar in footing to the house application that was rejected for planning. Is this an attempt to establish a permanent structure that then could be converted into housing in the future? Uninterrupted views, being on green belt and no planning or any other structures/houses near are property we feels adds to the value of our house, this proposal would reduce the value in our opinion of our home.

We both have PhDs in Biology specialising in biodiversity, ecology and bats. Any biologist expert will be able to state that integratory of traditional agricultural land will be impacted by increasing the number of horses on the site.

Do you really need to print out this Email? Be green - keep it on the screen.

This email is intended for the addressee(s) only and may contain sensitive, privileged or confidential information that could be protectively marked. If you are not the addressee please do not use the information in any way. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system. Thank you.

The Council may be required to disclose this email or any responses to it under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. The way in which we handle personal information is set out in our privacy notice and is available at <https://www.highpeak.gov.uk/YourData>
