

From: planningcomments@highpeak.gov.uk
To: [Planning Comments \(HPBC\)](#)
Subject: Comment Received from Public Access
Date: 27 May 2023 12:42:11

Application Reference No. : HPK/2023/0193
Site Address: LAND AT Marsh Lane New Mills Derbyshire
Comments by: John Young
From:

Oak Beams, Marsh Lane New Mills
Marsh Lane New Mills
new mills
NEW MILLS, HIGH PEAK
high peak
SK22 4PN

Submission: Objection

Comments: We attended the very poor presentation at the public consultation. There was a member there that was an owner of one of the designated sites listed below and on your documents that had NOT been approached and I think the company must show evidence of the negative replies from the other possible sites before financial profits are placed before ruining greenbelt. I dont agree with batteries as a solution but environmentally globally unfavorable in every respect. I have noise concerns and safety. 4 metre and 3 metre fences where I was refused a 1 metre fence on Marsh lane due to there not being an other fences on marsh lane and told to use trees which I/we have. below is part of the documentation you supplied and references the areas

you claim not to have got a response from! On these

4.11 The owners of the sites were contacted but in the case of Sites A, B and C there was no response therefore it was assumed that the sites were not available and consequently the proposal not deliverable in these locations. Site D was discounted due to the excessive costs of constructing the cable from Site D to NMS. In order to access Site D, the cable would have to cross (in an east to west direction) the River Goyt; the Peak Forest Canal; the railway line running between Manchester and Buxton; and the A6. Constructing a cable route which crosses all of these constraints would not only be technically difficult but would lead to prohibitive costs and therefore the proposal would not be deliverable at Site D.

4.12 Consequently, the proposal was deemed to be undeliverable at all four identified sites.

4.13 In addition, there were no alternative sites identified as a result of the online estate agents search and consultation with High Peak Borough Council did not identify any other potential sites.

4.14 Accordingly, no deliverable sites were identified within urban area of the search area and therefore there no sites were taken forward to Stage Three of the Methodology. It is therefore necessary to move to Stage Four.

Do you really need to print out this Email? Be green - keep it on the screen.

This email is intended for the addressee(s) only and may contain sensitive, privileged or confidential information that could be protectively marked. If you are not the addressee please do not use the information in any way. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system. Thank you.

The Council may be required to disclose this email or any responses to it under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. The way in which we handle personal information is set out in our privacy notice and is available at <https://www.highpeak.gov.uk/YourData>
