
From: james riley
Sent: 02 May 2023 14:16
To: Planning Comments (HPBC)
Subject: Re: Comment submitted for Planning application

Categories:

Dear sirs,

Further to my previous concerns regarding the ongoing work at 180, Simmondley Lane, Glossop, I would also like to raise the issue of Overdevelopment.

It would seem from the plans submitted that the proposed extension will go right up to applicants effective boundary and the proposed extensions generally give rise to the completed building being too large for the plot. Such a large property was not envisaged on that site.

I look forward to hearing from you in due course.

Kind regards

James Riley

On Monday, 27 February 2023 at 20:44:15 GMT, wrote:

Thank you for submitting your comments on the planning application.
This is an acknowledgement to confirm they have been received by the planning department and will be processed within the next 5 working days.
Please find a copy of your submitted comments below.

Application Reference No. : HPK/2022/0477
Site Address: 180 Simmondley Lane Simmondley Glossop Derbyshire SK13 6LY Glossop
Comments by: James Riley
From:
1, Hunters Lane

Simmondley
Glossop
Derbyshire
SK13 6XX

Submission: Objection

Comments: I have concerns regarding the significant work that has been undertaken to alter the banking of the stream that runs between our two properties. The bank on their side has been completely removed and replaced with large rock boulders. In addition it has been significantly increased in height which I believe now poses a significant flood risk to my property and may have had significant environmental impact on the course of the stream. It has also taken away the natural appearance of the stream and has had a likely detrimental effect on wildlife.

The work so far completed has also significantly heightened their rear and side gardens so that the single storey structure at the rear of their property is almost like a two storey structure and overlooks my private rear garden. Indeed the window of the single storey extension at the rear is positioned higher than the existing hedge. The further work to erect a two storey structure with numerous windows looking directly into my private rear garden and directly into my house is an unreasonable invasion of my privacy.

In addition the removal of nearly all trees and other vegetation has left my private rear garden significantly exposed.

