From:planningcomments@highpeak.gov.ukSent:27 April 2018 18:00To:Planning Comments (HPBC)Subject:Comment Received from Public Access

Application Reference No. : HPK/2018/0069 Site Address: 102 Bulls Head Inn Church Street Glossop Derbyshire SK13 7RN Glossop Comments by: Roy Calder From: 6 Bute Street Old Glossop GLOSSOP Derbyshire SK137PZ Submission: Support Comments: Dear Sirs,

While I am dismayed that the popular hostelry is to close I am not surprised as its demise has been on the cards since the Indian Restaurant side of the business closed. This also goes to illustrate how our local culture has changed over the past decade and a half since I moved to GLOSSOP. I have been told, though it may be wishful reminiscence, that there were over 120 Public Houses in the greater Glossop area and this has now reduced to less than forty.

That some of our local characters in OLD GLOSSOP want to purchase this for the community and turn it into a Community run Public House must either be living in Cloud Cuckoo land or smoking something that I don't. There is no way that the community could raise, what I estimate to be, £750,000 to buy the premises and then actually run it as a going concern. I would estimate that the each household in the local community would have to divvy up somewhere in the region of £5,000 just to gamble on some sort of success. To be honest if the local community are not going to go there to drink now then they probably won't in the future either.

However in saying that I think we need to look at the planning application itself. I did skim through the documents and while the developer does comment on the needs of the local community in his application there is nothing contained therein relating to how this application will recompense the community for the loss of this establishment. I would have expected that there should have been a financial provision made as an S106 Contribution (apologies if the terminology is incorrect) for community facilities. If we look at the recent conversion project in the neighbourhood, the projected value of this project will be close to £2,000,000. Thus a provision in the project for a contribution to the local community of £200,000 as a pre-requisite for approval of the application, should be seriously considered.

I thus tentatively support the application with the proviso that it is approved if and only if this financial contribution be made to the local community.

Yours,

Roy Calder BSc AMIChemE