Preliminary Arboricultural Appraisal (PAA)

Lane End Construction Ltd

Dinting Road Glossop SK13 7BU September 2016



Quality Management

Job No)	11298						
Project		Dinting Road						
Locatio	on	Dinting Road, Glossop, S	K13 7BU					
Title		Preliminary Arboricultura	Preliminary Arboricultural Appraisal					
Docum	nent Type	BS5837	lssue	1				
Date		15/09/2016						
Prepar	ed by	Elisa Dore	Signature	Edisa	Dore			
Check	ed by	Joshua Corbett	Signature					
Revision Status / History								
Rev	Date	Issue / Purpose / Comme	ent	Prepared	Checked			

Contents

1	Intro	oduction1							
	1.1	Instructions and references1							
	1.2	Documents provided1							
	1.3	Scope and limitations of the report1							
2	Site	Location							
3	Tree	e Condition and Recommendations4							
	3.2	Summary of findings4							
	3.3	Work priority and future management4							
	3.4	Tree protection status							
	3.5	Tree works							
	3.6	Wildlife							
4	Pot	ential Arboricultural Impacts6							
	4.1	Root Protection Area (RPA) explained6							
	4.2	Conclusions							
A	opend	dix 1 - Tree Data Schedule7							
A	Appendix 2 – Tree Schedule Definition of Terms8								
A	Appendix 3 – Tree Retention Category9								
A	Appendix 4 – Site Plans10								

1 Introduction

1.1 Instructions and references

- 1.1.1 All trees, regardless of their statutory status, are a material consideration in a planning application. We have been instructed by Lane End Construction Ltd to carry out a Preliminary Arboricultural Appraisal (PAA) in accordance with BS 5837:2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction Recommendations at the site location and produce our findings in a report with an accompanying Tree Constraints Plan.
- 1.1.2 The PAA is compiled objectively and is aimed to assist with feasibility and decision making during the design process. It does not take into account any proposals for the site. Section 4.4.1.1 of BS 5837 states that 'the tree survey should be completed and made available to designers prior to and/or independently of any specific proposals for development'.
- 1.1.3 BS 5837 recognises the potential conflict between trees and development. The standard sets out to assist those concerned with trees in relation to construction and aid with decision making. This is achieved by providing impartial and balanced information on trees and their potential impacts.

1.2 Documents provided

- 1.2.1 A scaled plan has been provided with tree positions already plotted. Any extra trees found on site that were not included on the original plan have been plotted using measurements taken on site and/or using aerial photography.
- 1.2.2 Tree locations which have been estimated are illustrated on the Tree Protection Plan in Appendix 4. The exact locations of these trees must be verified and any discrepancies discussed with the Arboricultural Consultant before starting works on site.

1.3 Scope and limitations of the report

- 1.3.1 A PAA in isolation is not intended to be sufficient to support a full planning application. Once detailed plans are made available, an Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) and Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS) must be carried out, which can then be submitted to the Local Authority to support the planning application.
- 1.3.2 The report is based upon a visual inspection. The consultant shall not be responsible for events that happen after the date of the report due to factors that were not apparent at the time, and the acceptance of this report constitutes an agreement with the guidelines and the terms listed in this report.
- 1.3.3 The consultant accepts no liability in respect of the trees unless the recommendations of this report are carried out under his supervision.
- 1.3.4 Assessing the potential influence of trees upon load bearing soils, beneath existing and proposed structures resulting from water abstraction by trees or rehydration of shrinkable soils was not included in the contract brief and is therefore not considered in the report. The consultant cannot be held responsible for damage arising from such action.

- 1.3.5 Trees are living organisms whose health, condition and structure can change over time. The contents of this report are valid for a period of one year from the date of the report.
- 1.3.6 Potentially hazardous trees are highlighted and appropriate recommendations are made. However, this report should not be seen as a substitute for a full tree risk assessment or management plan which are specifically designed to minimise risk and liability associated with responsibility for trees.

2 Site Location

2.1.1 The site is located in the area shown in Figure 1. The OS Grid Reference is SK0268894520.



Figure 1 – Site Location Plan

3 Tree Condition and Recommendations

3.1.1 The following findings are provided in order to identify the quality and value of the existing tree stock, allowing informed decisions to be made concerning which trees should be removed, retained or those that require work. Any recommendations provided in this section are given with the assumption that development will occur, however, no specific designs have been accounted for.

3.2 Summary of findings

- 3.2.1 Trees T10 and T14 have deadwood within their crowns which requires removal. These trees also have low crowns which will require crown lifting should footfall to the site increase.
- 3.2.2 Trees T2 and G19 had limited access which prevented a detailed inspection of the base of these trees. It is recommended that any vegetation is cleared and access arranged to allow a full inspection.
- 3.2.3 G21 is a roadside tree and has previous pruning wounds which require monitoring.
- 3.2.4 All works should be prioritised as indicated on the Tree Data Schedule, Appendix 1.
- 3.2.5 All other trees are deemed to be in an acceptable condition and no further works have been recommended.

3.3 Work priority and future management

- 3.3.1 The Tree Data Schedule in Appendix 1 details what works are required to individual trees in order to ensure that they are in an acceptable condition.
- 3.3.2 The following table suggests a schedule for prioritising works required to individual specimens, as outlined in the Tree Data Schedule in Appendix 1, in order to ensure that the associated risks are abated.

Priority	Definition	Tree Number
Urgent	As soon as possible	n/a
Very High	Within 1 Month	n/a
High	Within 3 Months	n/a
Moderate	Within 1 year	T10, T14, G19, G21
Low	Within 3 years	T2

Table 1 – Tree Work Priority Schedule

3.3.3 Upon completion of any recommended works, the trees will be in an acceptable condition from a health and safety perspective. However, they should be regularly inspected according to the following suggested schedule.

Inspection Frequency (years)	Tree Number
0.5	n/a
1	n/a
1.5	n/a
3	T1, T2, G3, T4, G5, T6, T7, T8, G9, T10, G11, G12, G13, T14, G15, G16, G17, G18, G19, T20, G21

Table 2 – Recommended Inspection Frequency

3.4 Tree protection status

- 3.4.1 A Tree Preservation Order (TPO) is an order made by a Local Authority to protect specific trees, groups of trees or woodlands in the interests of amenity. A TPO prohibits the cutting down, topping, lopping, uprooting and wilful damage or destruction of trees without the Local Authority's written consent.
- 3.4.2 A local authority check showed that there are no TPOs on the site and the site is not within a Conservation Area.
- 3.4.3 It is recommended that the Local Authority is consulted before any tree works are undertaken, as new TPOs may have been created since the time of enquiry, and heavy fines exist for unauthorised works to protected trees.
- 3.4.4 It is an offence to remove more than 5m³ of timber in any one calendar quarter without having first obtained a felling licence from the Forestry Commission. It must be noted, however, that this excludes sites where planning permission has already been granted.

3.5 Tree works

- 3.5.1 Tree works that are recommended in Appendix 1 are made in line with good arboricultural practice and should be programmed in accordance with the Tree Data Schedule.
- 3.5.2 All specified tree work is to be carried out in accordance with BS 3998:2010 Tree work Recommendations.

3.6 Wildlife

- 3.6.1 Prior to the commencement of any tree works, the trees should be assessed for the presence of protected species, some of which are subject to the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) and the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010.
- 3.6.2 Where there is evidence that bats, birds or other protected species are present, the advice of a suitably qualified ecologist should be sought.
- 3.6.3 If tree works are carried out during the bird nesting season (March to August inclusive), trees would need to be inspected by a qualified ecologist within the 24-hour period prior to the commencement works.

4 Potential Arboricultural Impacts

4.1 Root Protection Area (RPA) explained

- 4.1.1 The RPA is an area of ground around the base of a tree indicated on the Tree Constraints Plan as a pink circle centred around the stem which is calculated in relation to the stem diameter.
- 4.1.2 Most tree roots grow within the upper 600mm of the soil profile where most nutrients are available as the result of the decomposition of organic matter close to the surface. Rooting conditions become less favourable at depth as the soil density increases, creating anaerobic conditions.
- 4.1.3 It is essential that roots are protected from construction works including physical damage from excavation and changes in soil structure from compaction and changes in ground levels.
- 4.1.4 BS 5837:2012 states that the default position for proposed structures should always be outside the RPA. It is recognised that this may not always be possible, yet tree retention would be desirable. In this instance technical solutions might be available that prevent damage to the retained tree(s).

4.2 Conclusions

- 4.2.1 Where possible category A and B trees should be retained and any works within their RPAs should be undertaken in a sympathetic manner. Although C category trees should not be a constraint to development, it may be desirable to retain them as part of the wider landscape proposals.
- 4.2.2 Shading of buildings by trees can be a problem, particularly where there are rooms which require natural light. Proposed buildings should be designed to take account of existing trees, their ultimate size and density of foliage, and the effect that these will have on the availability of light.

Appendix 1 - Tree Data Schedule

The following pages contain information gathered during the site survey. The reader should refer to Appendix 2 in order to correctly interpret the tree data. All images within the Tree Data Schedule are diagrammatical only. Their purpose is to indicate, at a glance, the relative dimensions of each tree. The images are computer generated based on measurements recorded for stem diameter, crown spread, crown height and overall height.

r rence Group Hedge	Age & Species	iht (m)	n Ht (m)	meter nm)	Crown Spread (m) N	Scaled Tree Diagram (m)	Notes	Recommendations		Physiological Condition	Life Expectancy (yrs)											
Refe G = H =		Heig	Crowi	Dia (W E S	9 0 9		Priority	Inspect Freg (yrs)	Structural Condition	Retention Category											
	Early-Mature				6	- 20	1: Situated on third party land in elevated			Good	40+											
T1	Oak	12	5	450	4 6		2: Access prevented detailed inspection.	No action	required.													
	Quercus sp				5	0		n/a	3	Fair	В											
	Early-Mature				4	- 23	1: Dense vegetation prevented a detailed			Good	40+											
T2	Sycamore	11	3	350	4 4			Remove ve and reir	egetation nspect.													
	Acer pseudoplatanus				4			Low	3	Fair	В											
	Semi-Mature		2 2 av 2 200	2 av 2			- 20	1: Mixed group consisting of cherry and goat			Good	40+										
G3	Mixed				<u></u> 4 4		2: No significant defects observed.	No action required.														
	Species	7		200	4 each			n/a	3	Good	С											
	Semi-Mature				3	- 23	1: Situated on site boundary.			Good	40+											
T4	Yew	7.5	7.5 2	5 2	2 310	2 310	2 310	2 3	2 310	2 310	5 2 310	2 310	2 310	2 310	310 3	3 2	-		No action required.			
	Taxus baccata				3	o		n/a	3	Good	B -											
	Young				av 1	- 23	1: Mixed group consisting of hawthorn and			Good	40+											
G5	Mixed	av 1	2	av 70	1 1	-	2: Dense self seeded group.	No action	required.													
	Species				1 each	0 🌲 🌲	3: No significant detects observed.	n/a	3	Good	С											
	Semi-Mature				0		1: Situated on third party land.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Good	40+											
T6	Oak	6.5	6.5 2		2	190	4 3.5	-	2: No significant detects observed.	No action required.												
	Quercus petraea	6.5			4		0		n/a	3	Good	С										

r rence Group Hedge	Age & Species	ht (m)	n Ht (m)	meter nm)	Crown Spread (m) N	Scaled Tree Diagram (m)	Notes	Recommendations		Physiological Condition	Life Expectancy (yrs)
Refe G = H =		Heig	Crow	Dia (T	W E S	9 0 9		Priority	Inspect Frea (yrs)	Structural Condition	Retention Category
T7	Semi-Mature Oak	7	2	270	4.5 4.5 4.5 4.5	- 23	 Situated on boundary. Single stemmed and vertical with a slightly unbalanced crown. No significant defects observed. 	No action	required.	Good	40+
	Quercus robur					0		n/a	3	Good	C+
Т8	Early-Mature Oak	9	1	480	5 5.5 4		 Situated on third party land along railway embankment. Minor deadwood to lower crown. Acceptable condition at present 	No action	required.	Good	40+
	Quercus petraea				3	0		n/a	3	Good	B
~~	Young Oak	av	av	av	av 1.5	- 23	1: Situated on third party land along railway embankment.	No action	required.	Good	40+
G9	Quercus sp	5.5	1	150	1.5 1.5 1.5 each	o		n/a	3	Good	С
T10	Early-Mature Oak	15	1.5	620	7 5 6.5 4.5	25	 Situated near boundary. Single stemmed and vertical with an unbalanced crown. Bark lesion present on scaffold branch. 	Crown I deadw footfall in	ift and ood if creases.	Good	40+
	Quercus robur					0	4: Deadwood present in lower crown in direction of bank.5: No significant targets present.	Moderate	3	Good	B+
G11	Semi-Mature Oak	av 14	av 1	av 350	av 5 5 5	25	 Situated on third party land along railway embankment. Previous branch failures evident. 	No action	required.	Good	40+
	Quercus petraea	14	I		5 each		3: Low hanging crown.4: Crown lift if footfall increase.	n/a	3	Fair	B -
010	Semi-Mature Oak	av	-	av	av 3	- 20 -	1: Situated on third party land along railway bank.	No action	required.	Good	40+
G12	Quercus petraea	Uurcus petraea	2	200	3 each			n/a	3	Good	С

g is in the second s	e rence Group Hedge	Age & Species	jht (m)	n Ht (m)	meter nm)	Crown Spread (m) N	Scaled Tree Diagram (m)	Notes	Recommendations		Physiological Condition	Life Expectancy (yrs)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Refe G = H =		Heig	Crow	Dia T	W E S	9 0 9		Priority	Inspect Frea (vrs)	Structural Condition	Retention Category
G13 Hawthom mongyna av 5 2 av 15 av av av<16 <th></th> <th>Semi-Mature</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>av a r</th> <th>- 23</th> <th>1: Sparse group situated on boundary.</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Good</th> <th>40+</th>		Semi-Mature				av a r	- 23	1: Sparse group situated on boundary.			Good	40+
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	G13	Hawthorn	av 5	2	av 1.50	2.5 2.5 2.5	-	2: No significant detects observed.	No action	required.		10
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Crataegus monogyna	5			2.5 each	[0 🏶🇳		n/a	3	Good	С
T14Oak Quercus petrace121610 7.5 6 3 6 2 6 2 3 4 2 6 2 3 4 2 6 3 4 4 2 2 2 2 3 5 3 6 2 3 4 4 4 4 2 3 4 <		Early-Mature				F	25	1: Situated on third party land along railway	Crown	ift and	Good	40+
Quercus petraceGuercus petraceSemi-MatureAAAACSemi-MatureModerate3FairBG15SpeciesSemi-MatureACVVVVVVVVCAAAAAVVVVCAAAAAVVVVVVVVCAAAAAAVVVVVVVCAAAAAAAVZZVZZVZZ <th>T14</th> <td>Oak</td> <td>12</td> <td>1</td> <td>610</td> <td>5 7.5 5</td> <td></td> <td>embankment. 2: Twin stemmed at base. 2: Miner deadwood to lower crown</td> <td colspan="2">deadwood if footfall increases.</td> <td></td> <td></td>	T14	Oak	12	1	610	5 7.5 5		embankment. 2: Twin stemmed at base. 2: Miner deadwood to lower crown	deadwood if footfall increases.			
Semi-Mature Average Average <th></th> <td>Quercus petraea</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>6</td> <td>.0</td> <td>4: Acceptable condition at present.</td> <td>Moderate</td> <td>3</td> <td>Fair</td> <td>В</td>		Quercus petraea				6	.0	4: Acceptable condition at present.	Moderate	3	Fair	В
G15Mixedav 52av 22av 222221CSpeciesMatureav av species4av av 52av av av 3.52av av 3.52av 		Semi-Mature				av 2	[25 -	1: Sparse group situated on third party land along railway embankment.			Good	40+
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	G15	Mixed av 5	av 5	2	av 150	2 2	-	2: Group consisting of oak, birch and hawthorn. 3: Access prevented detailed inspection.	No action require	required.		
Mature Mixed SpeciesMature av 3 4 $avav3.52^{25}3.51: Small group situated in centre of site.2: Group consisting of hawthorn, elder and wildcherry.3: No evidences of pruning.4: No significant defects observed.No action required.Good40+C+G17MatureHawthorncrataegusmonogynaav5av5av3^{2}av3^{2}2^{20}1: Situated in centre of site.2: No significant defects observed.No action required.Good40+C+G17MatureHawthornmonogynaav5av5av3^{2}<$		Species				each			n/a	3	Fair	С
Mixed av 4 av 3.3 av 2: Group consisting of nawthorn, elder and wild chery. No action required. No action required. Constraint of the state of the stat		Mature				av 2.5	- 25	1: Small group situated in centre of site.			Good	40+
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	G16	Mixed	av	4	av	3.5 3.5	5	cherry.	No action required.	required.		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Species	8		400	3.5	3: No evidences of pruning.			Good	C+	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						each		4. No significant defects observed.	n/a	3		CI
G17Hawthorn Crataegus monogyna av 5 av 		Mature				3	-	 Situated in centre of site. No significant defects observed. 			Good	40+
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	G17	Hawthorn	av 5	av 0.5	av 390	3 3	-		No action	required.		
G18 Semi-Mature 4 av 4 2 av 1 1 Linear boundary group. 2: Consisting of privet, hawthorn and sycamore. 3: Access prevented detailed inspection. No action required. Good 40+ Fair C		Crataegus		0.0		3				2	Good	C+
Mixed av 2 av 1 1 2: Consisting of privet, hawthorn and sycamore. No action required. Good 40+ Species 4 2 av 1 1 2: Consisting of privet, hawthorn and sycamore. No action required. Fair C		Semi-Mature				av		1: Linear boundary group.	n/a	3		40 :
Species 4 2 150 1 Fair C	C10	Mixed	av	0	av	1		2: Consisting of privet, hawthorn and sycamore.	No action required.		Good	40+
	GIØ	Species	Species	2	150	l each	- 0 * *			3	Fair	С

erence Group Hedge	Age & Species	jht (m)	n Ht (m)	meter mm)	Crown Spread (m) N	Scaled Tree Diagram (m)	Notes	Recommendations		Physiological Condition	Life Expectancy (yrs)
Refe G = H =		Heiç	Crow	Dia	W E S	9 0 9		Priority	Inspect Frea (vrs)	Structural Condition	Retention Category
	Mature				av 5 5	- 23	1: Situated on third party land.			Good	40+
G19	Sycamore	av	av	av	5.5 5.5	AND	2: Access prevented detailed inspection.	Remove ve and reir	egetation spect.		
	Acer pseudoplatanus	10	5	000	5.5 each			Low	3	Fair	В
	Early-Mature	15			4.5		 Situated on third party land. Single stemmed and vertical with a slightly 	No action required		Good	40+
T20	Fraxinus excelsior		7	440	5 6 5		unbalanced crown. 3: Access prevented detailed inspection.			Fair	В
	Farly-Mature				av	<u>го д</u>	1: Mixed aroup situated along road	n/a	3		
	Mixed				5	 25 2: Group consisting of predominantly oak, ash and sycamore. 3: Understory of hawthorn and elder. 4: Acceptable condition at present. 5: Previous pruning wounds evident. 	Monitor.		Good	40+	
G21		av 10	av 5	av 300	5		3: Understory of hawthorn and elder.				
	Species				each		4: Acceptable condition at present.5: Previous pruning wounds evident.	Low	3	Fair	В

Appendix 2 – Tree Schedule Definition of Terms

	Individual Trees T (+number)
Iree Referencing	Grouped Trees G (+number)
	Hedgerows H (+number)
	Young Usually <15 years
Age Category	Semi-mature Significant growth expected, approximately one third of life expectancy complete
	Early-Mature Full height achieved with turther significant growth possible, up to two thirds of life expectancy complete
	Mature Full height has been achieved with possible spreading of the canopy, usually past two thirds of overall lite expectancy
	Veteran Usually a free of significant age with characteristics that give additional cultural, landscape and conservation benefits,
	Over-mature A tree declining due to age as indicated by deterioration in the health and condition of its crown and trunk.
Species	Botanical Name - conforming to the International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (ICN). For universal plant recognition.
	Common Name – commonly used names usually on a local and national scale.
Tree Height	The vertical distance between the base of the tree (where soil and buttress meet) and the tip of the highest branch on the tree.
Crown Height	Measured from ground level to the height at which the main crown begins.
Stem Diameter (DBH)	Stem diameter is measured at 1.5 m above ground level
	A diagrammatical representation of the tree taken from measurements of stem diameter, crown height and spread, and overall height.
Crown	Measurements taken from all four cardinal points in metres.
Notes	Notes are made to inform of any possible defects, peculiarities or points of interest that may relate to the trees position, physiology, safety and possible
	effects on developments.
Recommendations	Recommendations are made in accordance to good arboricultural practice. Recommendations are made regardless to the end usage of the site.
Priority Scale	Priority is given dependant on the perceived threat and the likelihood of failure given to a possible hazard. The priority of work is given regardless of the end
	usage of the site.
	Urgent To be carried out as soon as possible.
	Very High To be carried out within 1 month.
	High To be carried out within 3 months.
	Moderate To be carried out within 1 year.
	Low To be carried out within 3 years.
Physiological	Good Usually healthy with no symptoms of poor health or disease.
Condition:	Fair Exhibiting signs of poor health or minor disease infections that are not considered to be hazardous.
	Poor Disease present in considerable quantities or with very poor physiological vigour.
	Very Poor Tree is in a moribund state in extremely poor condition, usually with little chance of recovery.
Structural Condition:	Good A tree with no significant structural defects.
	Fair Minor defects may have been observed but are not considered to be immediately hazardous.
	Poor Significant detects tound. Iree requires monitoring or remedial works.
	Very Poor Major detects that require immediate remedial work or the removal of the tree.
Lite Expectancy:	The estimated number of years before the tree may require removal should no unexpected mechanical or environmental impacts occur to the tree.
Retention Category:	Please refer to Tree retention categorisation table on the next page.

Appendix 3 – Tree Retention Category

The following table provides an explanation of retention categories used.								
Trees to be removed								
Category U Includes trees of very low quality that offer little or no amenity value.	Trees that are in such a condition that they should be removed as a matter of good arboricultural practice regardless of given proposals.	RED						
Trees to be considered for retention								
Category A Trees of a high quality, with an estimated life of expectancy of at least 40 years	Trees that are excellent examples of their species, usually mature, especially if rare or unusual including veteran trees. Category A trees are likely to enhance a development and should be retained wherever possible.	GREEN						
Category B Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years.	Trees that are good examples of their species. B category trees are usually mature or younger trees with the potential to reach A category in the future. Although the retention of these trees is desirable, some losses may be acceptable.	BLUE						
Category C Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm.	Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories.	GREY						
NOTE: Trees that are viewed as borderline and do not fit neatly into either of the categories are given a plus or minus rating (+/-) in the tree data schedule. Therefore, C+ would denote a tree being borderline C/B although C is deemed to be the most appropriate category. Similarly, B- would denote a tree being borderline B/C with B seen as the most appropriate category.								

Appendix 4 – Site Plans

The site plans referred to in the report follow this page which include the following:

• Tree Constraints Plan

Although included plans are usually to scale, they are only intended to indicate positions of surveyed trees and dimensions should not be taken from these drawings.





∕#G3

~G5

,∉T6