

From: planningcomments@highpeak.gov.uk
To: [Planning Comments \(HPBC\)](#)
Subject: Comment Received from Public Access
Date: 23 February 2017 21:33:59

Application Reference No. : HPK/2016/0610

Site Address: Hargate Hill Equestrian Centre Hargate Hill Glossop Derbyshire SK13 6JL Glossop

Comments by: E T

From:

Kingfisher Way

Phone:

Email:

Submission: Objection

Comments: I object to the above planning permission and any subsequent events proposed to be held at this site due to the following reasons.

1. I feel that consultation of the local residents has been lacking on behalf of the applicant. As a resident living on Kingfisher Way, which lies adjacent to the land on which the Marquee is proposed, I have not received any notification that planning permission is being sought. In fact, when speaking to my neighbours, most of them were completely in the dark, even though the proposal would affect our road and neighbouring roads such as Green Lane and Springwood. The proposal was posted outside the entrance to the Stable yard, but the access point from Green Lane used at the rear of the Stables was neglected. This is in common use by many of the residents of Simmondley due to it being a Public Right of Way. This, I felt was maybe a way to reduce possible objections to the proposal. In a comment made by the applicant he stated that 'local residents' support the venture, how can this be so, if the ones most affected are unaware. A further statement made by the applicant states that the site is 'relatively isolated' but this is not the case the site is adjacent to two different housing estates in Simmondley.

2. The erection of the Marquee would be of detrimental effect to the character and appearance of the site and the openness of the greenbelt on which it will stand. The very nature and size of a Marquee and the ancillary tents for catering and portaloos will appear at odds with the rural, traditional character of the area. Many Marquees have been refused planning on this basis alone. I have concerns that if planning permission were gained then this sets a precedent for Hargate Hill and the surrounding areas to push for further development of the Greenbelt.

3. Noise for the residents living within the adjacent land of the proposed site is a very real concern. The area in which we live is very quiet at night. The associated noise from a wedding party may in isolation appear to be an inconvenience, but potentially every weekend throughout the Summer then becomes unacceptable. The gentle thud, thud, thud of a bassline is not conducive to sleeping. For some who work shifts and weekends this will be a noise nuisance. The Marquee will not have solid wall sides and therefore little to no soundproofing. The Marquee is at an elevated position to the residential areas and therefore allows the sound to travel further, sound also travels further at night due to the change in temperature from day to night. Once the music of the party is over, the noise continues late into the night with the guests making their way off site by either foot or Taxi.

4. Potential problems may arise when accessing the site. The applicant stated that all access would be via the

main entrance to Hargate Hill on Glossop Road. This for most people would be through a very busy stable yard. I am concerned that people local to the area, who the applicant stated would be some of his target customers, would be more tempted to park on Green Lane, Kingfisher Way and walk to the site via the Public right of way off Green Lane. Conversely people who live within the Simmondley area will not want to leave via the main entrance and will leave via the well-known shortcut. This could then potentially cause more noise from inebriated partygoers who are either finding their way home, or are being collected by taxis at the end of Green Lane in the turning circle. How would this be policed? The applicant stated that only the Land owner had access to the entrance from Green Lane. This is not the case as it is a Public right of way. Therefore, would the applicant be able to refuse access to Green Lane?

5. Has a risk assessment been made of the 2 unfenced ponds in front of the proposed site, this could prove very dangerous in the presence of unattended children/inebriated adults.

6. What investigations have been made to determine the effect on local wildlife and any protected species?

7. The applicant states that the business would benefit Glossop, but he has already been outsourcing contracts to out-of-area businesses, as advertised on his Facebook page.

In conclusion, I feel that the applicant has considered the needs of the few to the detriment of the many.