

**Wrigglesworth, Beth**

---

**From:** DP [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 27 July 2015 14:14  
**To:** Planning (HPBC); karen.taylor@highpeak.gov.uk  
**Subject:** Planning Application HPK/2015/0283  
**Attachments:** birch house 2015 word.docx; ATT00001.htm

## Planning Application HPK/2015/0283

Dear Sir/ Madam

Please find an attachment containing our response to the above planning application. As I'm sure you know there seem to be on going problems with the planning application web site.

I have been trying to send this via the appropriate section of the application on the High Peak website but have had no response to say that it has been received. Today there is no service at all, so I have had to contact you via e mail. I have been trying to see if an amendment to the application, as informed to us by the agent, regarding passing places has been posted but not been able to do so because of continued issues with the planning application search site.

Can I please have acknowledgement that our response letter has been received.

With thanks,

Guy And Deborah Woods

Format word document

Hayley, hopefully this will work,  
with thanks.



17th July 2015

5, Spinnerbottom,  
Birch Vale,  
High Peak  
SK22 1AA

Dear Sir/Madam,

**Ref. Planning Application HPK/2015/0283**

Again we write regarding the site at Birch House. We would like the following comments to be noted.

Firstly may we point out that we have lived in our property for over twenty eight years and as such have good knowledge of the area.

The site is in an area defined as Greenbelt. The proposed development may have a detrimental impact on the area and may change its current rural aspect. The removal of many trees and vegetation may injure the visual amenities to the valley and beyond. The development will impact upon neighbouring properties in relation to privacy, light pollution and noise.

In regards to traffic using the site, movement to and on the site has been minimal for years, so there will be an increase on current usage.

The increase of daily journeys and the provision of passing places will also affect privacy in gardens and noise nuisance will increase.

If there is a need for further parking restrictions to be put in place on Spinnerbottom in order to improve access to the development, it will affect the parking amenity for existing residents. Current parking provision is not adequate and loss of even one parking place will certainly have a knock on effect for all residents down through Spinnerbottom to The Crescent.

As pointed out in previous correspondence concerning various applications over many years, several land boundaries outlined in this application are incorrect. In the current architect's layout plan, the boundary of our garden is marked incorrectly. The land registry plan included in the submission is correct.

The applicant does not have permission to use or modify any part of our property. To continue putting forward planning applications including this part of our property is to say the least confrontational. The agent for this application did write to local residents and we have been in contact with them. They have apparently altered the initial plan and removed a passing place on the lane but I'm afraid it has been impossible to view this on the High Peak planning application page as the site is frequently unavailable.

This site has been subject to a variety of applications over the last twenty five years. We have tried to be as reasonable as possible when considering the application, we understand that time does not stand still and the applicant wishes to develop/sell his land. The current proposal is the least invasive and more attractive development of the site put forward so far. We do however still have grave concerns that approval may set a precedent for future further development.

Mr. G. Woods

Mrs. D. Woods